

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,402	03/18/2004	Clark E. Smith	C382.12-0180	7374
	7590 03/28/200 HAMPLIN & KELLY	EXAMINER		
SUITE 1400		FANTU, YALKEW		
900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3319			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2838	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/804,402	SMITH ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
YALKEW FANTU	2838				

	YALKEW FANTU	2838						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which appeal feel on condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.131; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Au no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	The period for reply expires 2_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of its Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITH MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date where filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exhausted winder 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS								
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (PTOL -324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		in plane / in on a mone (i	100 024).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation on how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide showing a good and sufficient reasons with it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 430(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:	F10/36/00) Fapel No(5).							
	/Gary L. Laxton/							
3/26/2008	Primary Examiner Art Unit 2838							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that *... no convincing line of reasoning as to why... to have been obvious in light of the teachings of Bertness and Tran, which include nothing about *... external device having an alarm configured to notify a user ... from the communication circuity...* Bertness, however, discloses a "battery charger [figure I] comprising; battery charging circuity [19]. coupled to couple to a battery [8], and to provide a charging signal to the battery [60]. 2, Ins 38-48], and communication circuitry [80], coupled to the charging circuitry [via 34], configured to transmit a signal to an external device upon receipt of a charge status code from the battery charging circuitry [vol. 5, Ins 5-17]. Tran, on the transmit a signal to an external device (such as a telephone receiver, a pager, which are capable of alarming the user) has an alarm to notify a user upon receipt of transmitted signal (page, 3 par, 36-38) that do not expressly stugnth by Bertness. Besides, the combined references of Bertness and Tran do not expressly disclose external device and the battery separated from each other so as not to be physically coupled. Tsepn, on the other hand discloses a charger unit provides a pocket-sized communication device, which may be carried about the study of the charge provides a pocket-sized communication device, which may be carried about the study of the charging process at a remote location, not to be physically coupled (o.d. 1, lines 58-67). Therfore the combination of these analogous arts provide apolicats invention as calimed, (see previous refection and response to applications).